

Title of the Case¹

Author(s), *affiliation(s)*

Abstract: (500 words)

[Please, describe the essential coverage and contributions of your case, practical implications and delivery mechanisms.]

Keywords: [Please, enlist between 10-20 key words or phrases (which will be used for the Index at the end of the publication) and indicate **6-8 Key words that will remain in this section**]

Geographic Coverage: Please, describe relevant districts, regions, cities, clusters, countries

Strategic Aims:

[Each case must enlist and describe the core strategic aims for the specific partnership, engagement and intervention that involves triple helix actors. Strategic aims should represent a synthesis of what was intended and what was achieved through the duration of the case.]

Case Overview and Track Record: (approx. 800 words)

[The case should describe actors, behaviour, actions, events, environmental context, policy framework. Authors need to make explicit the key problem(s) the case intended to tackle and why it is worth to be implemented, which problem(s) and hurdles had to be removed and overcome, what is the case track record - new action or well routed and/or transformed practice, years of operations, origination of the idea, approved developmental steps, supporting decisions and investments, organizational commitments and activities. Enlist any case references – such as publications, media coverage, awards, conferences or workshops presentations, etc.]

Tangible Impact:

[Please describe any positive financial / employment / growth / societal / community impact on the involved organizations, resulting from the adoption of the practice. Please, identify the role of different Triple Helix actors.]

Intangible Impact:

[Please, describe who benefited from this practice and what has changed / improved for them as a result of the adoption of this practice. Please, indicate what is the overall impact on the place and the region and the local stakeholders.]

¹ *Case Owners:* [Please, include the identity of the Case Owner(s) – Implementor(s) and/or Author (s) – mainly the actors that can take credit for the contributions of this knowledge and practice.]

Transferability and Lessons Learned in Triple Helix Cooperation: (Recommended length - 800 words for this section)

[It is important that in conclusion authors can propose which aspects of their experience are transferable and the context where such transferability may apply. Is the concerned practice transferable in other/different contexts or is it dependent and enabled by specific local / framework conditions? What are your 3 main take-aways as learning lessons for all – for example - who was the driver for each of the helices and who are the TH Champions from Government, Industry and Academia (name, affiliation, contact details, email address and/or web-site)? Particular emphasis should be paid to the question of sustainability of the new practice - where to find resources to secure operations in the long term, and specific learning lessons and take-aways.]

References:

[Please, provide a short list of references to your case, including – relevant literature, policy documents and practice-guide books, or publications of the case in the press and awards. Please, use Harvard style of referencing, using the examples below:]

Barca, F. (2009). *An agenda for a reformed cohesion policy. A place-based approach to meeting European Union challenges and expectations*. Brussels: European Commission.

Clar, G. (2018) Guiding investments in place-based development. Priority setting in regional innovation strategies, Seville: European Commission, JRC112689.

Edwards, J., Pertoldi, M. & Morgan, K. (2016). Good governance: principles and challenges, in: Gianelle, C., Kyriakou, D., Cohen, C. & Prezor, M. (Eds.): *Implementing smart specialisation*. A handbook. Brussels: European Commission.

European Commission (2014). *Enabling synergies between European Structural and Investment Funds, Horizon 2020 and other research, innovation and competitiveness-related Union programmes*, Guidance for policy-makers and implementing bodies, European Commission.

The suggested length of the case is 2500 words or 5-6 pages in length of the text. Please, include some relevant graphics, pictures, tables – that illustrate the case.

Index [This is a sample of some of the key words that can guide the content of your cases and you can identify as key-words. Please, ensure that the text of the case and the key-words include use of **the Triple Helix model** and are focused on **Smart & Resilient Place-Based Development**]

business model –
bottom-up –
cluster approach –
cooperatives –
cross-fertilization –
crowd-science –
disruptive innovation –
effectiveness of regional development –
Entrepreneurial Discovery Process (EDP) -
entrepreneurial role –
European Union –
funding strategies and priority identifications
impact –
industrial spin out –
industry –
innovation –
Innovation and entrepreneurship –
innovation summit –
interoperability –
knowledge-based economies –
knowledge exploitation –
knowledge production –
knowledge and technology transfer –
knowledge sharing –
lead customer testing –
licensing-in –
local role of knowledge institutions –
local impact -
open & social innovation –
open innovation –
organised facilitation among stakeholders –
participatory and partnership-oriented
approach –
place-based innovation policy –
popularization –
prioritisation of investment –
public sector research –
mechanisms for inter-departmental and inter-
agency cooperation –
multi-stakeholder platforms –
mutual knowledge exchange –
place-based strategy -
power –
Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) –
regional development policy –
scaling-up –
science diplomacy –
scientists –
Smart Triple Helix -
society –
stakeholder engagement -
synergies across policies –
transferability –
Triple Helix –
triple helix partnership –
triple helix constellation -
triple partnership approach –
university roles in the new economy –
regional development –
university self-identification –
University-Industry-Government (UIG) links –